



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/141,220	08/27/1998	GARY A. BANNON	HS-102	9620

7590 09/22/2004

BRENDA HERSCHBACH JARRELL
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART
EXCHANGE PLACE
53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/141,220	BANNON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong Huynh	1644	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--


The Appeal Brief filed on 12 July 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
 - (a) the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
 - (b) the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Appellant's appeal brief includes statements in the Groupings of claims (page 4) that
(A) Claims 37-51 and 57-62 as dependent from claim 37 that recite a method of making modified protein allergen.
(B) Claims 52-54 and 57-62 as dependent from claim 52 that recite a method of making modified food allergen.

The brief does not present arguments as to why claims 37-51 and 57-62 of Group A in issue 1 stand or fall together not with
claims 52-54 and 57-62 of Group B in issue 1 in the argument section. Further, the Brief does not referring to the
specification by page and line number in the Summary of Invention.


 CHRISTINA CHAN
 ADVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600